

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TESSERA, INC.,

No. C 05-4063 CW

Plaintiff,

ORDER REGARDING
COURT EXPERT

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
corporation; SPANSION, INC., a
Delaware corporation; SPANSION
TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware
corporation; ADVANCED
SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC.,
a Republic of China corporation;
ASE (U.S.), INC., a California
corporation; CHIPMOS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Republic of
China corporation; CHIPMOS
U.S.A., INC., a California
corporation; SILICONWARE
PRECISION INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., a
Republic of China corporation;
SILICONWARE USA, INC., a
California corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS N.V., a
Netherlands corporation;
STMICROELECTRONICS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; STATS
CHIPPAC, INC., a Delaware
corporation; STATS CHIPPAC (BVI),
INC., a British Virgin Islands
company; STATS CHIPPAC, LTD., a
Singapore company,

Defendants.

SILICONWARE PRECISION INDUSTRIES
CO, LTD; SILICONWARE U.S.A.,
INC.,

No. C 08-3667 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

1 CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
2 CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.; CHIPMOS
3 TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA), LTD.,

No. C 08-3827 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

4
5 TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

7
8 ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR
9 ENGINEERING, INC.; ASE TEST
10 LIMITED; ASE (U.S.), INC.,

No. C 08-3726 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

11
12 TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

13
14 SPANSION, INC., et al.,

No. C 10-4954 CW

Plaintiffs,

v.

15
16 TESSERA, INC.,

Claimant.

17
18
19
20 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC.,

No. C 10-945 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

21
22 TESSERA, INC.,

Defendant.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC., No. C 11-6121 CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TESSERA, INC.,

5 Defendant.

6 /
7 TESSERA, INC., No. C 12-692 CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 QUALCOMM, INC.; FREESCALE
11 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.; ATI
12 TECHNOLOGIES, ULC,

13 Defendants.
14 /

15 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
16 /

17 The Court notes that one name was inadvertently omitted from
18 the list of parties and attorneys that was attached to the Court's
19 April 9, 2012 Order Concerning Duties and Instructions for the
20 Court-Appointed Expert, that of party Powertech Technology, Inc.
21 Thus, the Court asks Dr. Dauskardt to confirm by signing and
22 returning the attached form that he has no conflict of interest,
23 with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc., that has not already
24 been disclosed to the parties.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: 5/16/2012

27 
28 CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

Case4:05-cv-04063-CW Document998 Filed05/16/12 Page4 of 4

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I affirm that I have disclosed truthfully to the parties all potential conflicts of interest that I may have to the best of my knowledge, with respect to Powertech Technology, Inc.

Dated: 5/31/12


DR. REINHOLD DAUSKARDT